



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,061	08/24/2001	Lawrence Howell Sawyer	KCC-16,208	1730

35844 7590 11/24/2003

PAULEY PETERSEN KINNE & ERICKSON
2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD
SUITE 365
HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195

EXAMINER

ANDERSON, CATHARINE L

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3761

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003

10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/939,061

Applicant(s)

SAWYER ET AL. *cd*

Examiner

C. Lynne Anderson

Art Unit

3761

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 May 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 and 57-63 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 and 57-63 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8. 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION***Specification***

The amendment filed 20 May 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The edge compression of between about 2726 and about 3615 gm-cm is not supported by the original disclosure.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 59 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The procedure for determining the edge compression of the absorbent pad is not described in the specification. One skilled in the art would therefore not be able to make the invention as claimed. Applicant states support for the limitation disclosing the edge compression is found on page 27, line 21+, and page 37, table 4. The paragraph beginning on page 27, line 21 is directed to U.S. Patent 6,214,274, which discloses an edge compression having units of g/gsm, rather than gm-cm as claimed. Table 4 discloses the Energy to 50% Compression in gm-cm, but fails to disclose a method for calculating the Energy to 50% Compression and does

Art Unit: 3761

not disclose an edge compression. An edge compression of between about 2726 and 3615 gm-cm is therefore not described in the specification, since the claimed values are described in the specification as being the Energy to 50% Compression.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11, 15-30, 34-36, 58, 60, 61, and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Laux et al. (5,827,259).

Laux discloses an absorbent pad 48 comprising a single layer, as shown in figure 2. The absorbent pad 48 comprises between 30% and 85% superabsorbent material homogeneously mixed with between 15% and 70% pulp fluff, as disclosed in column 19, lines 50-65. The absorbent pad 48 has a density greater than about 0.28 g/cc, as disclosed in column 20, lines 1 and 15-17, and a thickness of less than 5 mm, as disclosed in column 20, lines 9-13. The absorbent pad 48 has an absorbent capacity of between about 14 and 40 g/g, as disclosed in column 19, lines 27-31.

With respect to claims 2 and 3, the absorbent pad 48 has a density greater than about 0.32 g/cc, as disclosed in column 20, lines 1 and 15-17.

With respect to claims 4 and 5, the absorbent pad comprises between 40% and 80%, and between 50% and 75% superabsorbent material, as disclosed in column 19, lines 50-65.

With respect to claim 6, the absorbent pad 48 further comprises a plurality of man-made fibers, as disclosed in column 18, lines 26-28.

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to claim 7, the absorbent pad 48 further comprises carrier particles, as disclosed in column 18, lines 26-28.

With respect to claims 8 and 9, the absorbent pad 48 is less than 5 mm thick, which includes the ranges of between 0.6 and 2.5 mm, and 0.7 and 2.0 mm.

With respect to claims 10 and 11, the absorbent pad 48 has an absorbent capacity of at least 16 g/g, as disclosed in column 19, lines 27-31.

With respect to claims 15-20, the absorbent pad 48 is present in an absorbent article, diaper, training pant, feminine hygiene product, incontinence product, and is capable of being used as a swim garment, as disclosed in column 2, lines 48-60.

With respect to claim 21, the absorbent material forms a gradient within the absorbent pad 48, as disclosed in column 18, lines 5-7.

With respect to claims 22 and 23, the absorbent pad comprises between 40% and 80%, and between 50% and 75% superabsorbent material, as disclosed in column 19, lines 50-65.

With respect to claim 24, the absorbent pad 48 further comprises a plurality of man-made fibers, as disclosed in column 18, lines 26-28.

With respect to claim 25, the absorbent pad 48 further comprises carrier particles, as disclosed in column 18, lines 26-28.

With respect to claims 26 and 27, the absorbent pad 48 is less than 5 mm thick, which includes the ranges of between 0.6 and 2.5 mm, and 0.7 and 2.0 mm.

With respect to claims 28-30 the absorbent pad 48 has an absorbent capacity of between 14 and 40 g/g, and at least 16 g/g, as disclosed in column 19, lines 27-31.

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to claim 34, the absorbent pad 48 includes more superabsorbent material at a first end than at a second end, as disclosed in column 20, lines 42-44.

With respect to claim 35, the absorbent pad 48 includes more superabsorbent material along a top surface, as disclosed in U.S. Patent 4,699,823 and incorporated by reference in column 18, lines 13-16.

With respect to claim 36, the absorbent pad 48 includes more superabsorbent material along a bottom surface, as disclosed in column 18, lines 8-13.

With respect to claim 58, the absorbent pad 48 is encompassed by a wrap sheet 70.

With respect to claim 60, the absorbent pad 48 is formed in a specific shape, as shown in figure 1.

With respect to claim 61, the absorbent pad 48 is encompassed by a wrap sheet 70.

With respect to claim 63, the absorbent pad 48 is formed in a specific shape, as shown in figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3761

Claims 12-14 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laux et al. (5,827,259) as applied to claims 1 and 21 above, and further in view of Coles (5,722,967).

Laux discloses all aspects of the claimed invention but remains silent as to the gel strength of the superabsorbent material.

Coles discloses a superabsorbent material for use in an absorbent pad having a gel strength of at least 0.85, as described in column 7, lines 6-9. Superabsorbent materials having a high gel strength are well-known to be highly stable. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention for the superabsorbent material of Laux to have a gel strength of at least 0.85, as taught by Coles.

Claims 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laux et al. (5,827,259) as applied to claim 21 above.

Laux discloses all aspects of the claimed invention but remains silent as to the variation of concentration of the superabsorbent material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to vary the concentration of superabsorbent by about 0.15 to about 0.25 g/cc, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laux et al. (5,827,259) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Pieniak et al. (5,451,442).

Art Unit: 3761

Laux discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the absorbent pad having a higher basis weight in a first zone than in a second zone.

Pieniak discloses an absorbent pad 14, as shown in figure 4, comprising compressions 40. The absorbent pad 14 has a reduced thickness and basis weight at compressions 40, as disclosed in column 7, lines 38-39. The compressions 40 act as folding lines to provide the article with an improved and more comfortable fit, as disclosed in column 10, lines 57-65.

It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to construct the absorbent pad of Laux with the compressions of Pieniak to provide the article with an improved and more comfortable fit.

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed 20 May 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The edge compression of between about 2726 and about 3615 gm-cm is not supported by the original disclosure, as described in the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, above.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3761

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (703) 308-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3590.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,061

Page 9

Art Unit: 3761

cla

cla

November 17, 2003



WEILUN LO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700